

Simeon M. Herskovits, Nevada Bar No. 11155  
Advocates for Community and Environment  
P.O. Box 1075  
El Prado, New Mexico 87529  
Phone: (575) 758-7202  
Fax: (575) 758-7203  
Email: simeon@communityandenvironment.net

Sean A. Rowe, Nevada Bar No. 10977  
Mineral County District Attorney  
P.O. Box 1210  
Hawthorne, NV 89415  
Phone: (775) 945-3636  
Fax: (775) 945-0740  
Email: srowe@mineralcountynv.org

*Attorneys for MINERAL COUNTY, NEVADA*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
)  
Plaintiff, )  
)  
WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE, )  
)  
Plaintiff-Intervenor, )  
vs. )  
)  
WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, )  
a corporation, et al., )  
)  
Defendants. )

IN EQUITY NO. C-125-ECR-WGC  
Subproceeding: C-125-C

3:73-CV-00128-ECR-WGC

**MINERAL COUNTY REPORT  
CONCERNING STATUS AND  
COMPLETION OF SERVICE**

MINERAL COUNTY, )  
)  
Proposed-Plaintiff-Intervenor, )  
vs. )  
)  
WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT )  
a corporation, et al. )  
)  
Proposed Defendants. )

Mineral County, Nevada, by and through its counsel of record, Simeon Herskovits of  
Advocates for Community and Environment, and Sean Rowe, designated resident counsel, and

Mineral County Report Concerning Status and Completion of Service  
Page 1 of 9

pursuant to the Minutes of the Court dated May 9, 2012 (Doc. No. 594), hereby submits the following Report Concerning Status and Completion of Service which includes a final service list, attached hereto as Exhibit A, an updated caption, attached hereto as Exhibit B, a service package, attached hereto as Exhibit C, and a Proposed Order Relating to Completion of Service, attached hereto. Exhibits A, B, and C and the Proposed Order reflect the directions and requirements of all the Court's orders relating to service. Once the Court issues an order approving Mineral County's service package, Mineral County intends to take the necessary steps to complete Rule 4 service on the remaining list of unserved proposed defendants in this case without delay.

**I. BACKGROUND:**

On October 25, 1994, Mineral County filed a Notice of Motion and Motion for Intervention, and Proposed Petition to Intervene in the C-125-B case. (C-125-B Doc. Nos. 31 & 32; C-125-C Doc. Nos. 2 & 3). On January 3, 1995, the Court created subfile C-125-C, or 3:73-CV-128-ECR-RAM. Minutes of the Court, at 1 (C-125-C Doc. No. 1).<sup>1</sup> On February 9, 1995, the Court ordered Mineral County to file revised Intervention Documents and to serve these Intervention Documents on all claimants to the waters of the Walker River and its tributaries pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4. Order Requiring Service of and Establishing Briefing Schedule Regarding the Motion to Intervene of Mineral County, ¶¶ 2, 3 (Doc. No. 19). Mineral County filed its Amended Complaint in Intervention, (Doc. No. 20), Amended Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Mineral County's Amended Complaint in Intervention, (Doc. No. 21), and Motion for Preliminary Injunction; Memorandum of Points and Authorities; Affidavit of Kelvin J. Buchanan; and Affidavit of Gary L. Vinyard, Ph.D, (Doc. No.

---

<sup>1</sup> Unless otherwise noted, all document references are to C-125-C documents.

22), on March 10, 1995. On September 29, 1995, the Court clarified the February 9 Order and the set of documents that Mineral County was required to serve on claimants to the waters of the Walker River and its tributaries. Order, at 2 (Doc. No. 48). Over the ensuing years Mineral County completed service on the vast majority of proposed defendants.

On August 29, 2008, Mineral County filed a service report indicating that service is close to complete and requesting that the Court confirm the caption submitted by Mineral County, amend certain names in the caption, strike certain names from the caption, and substitute other names in their stead, ratify service efforts for several proposed defendants, and clarify the status of service on several proposed defendants. Mineral County Report Concerning Status of Service on Proposed Defendants (Doc. No. 479). On September 27, 2011, Magistrate Judge Leavitt issued an Order Concerning Service Issues in C-125-C (Doc. No. 547), which granted the requests made in Mineral County's August 2008 Service Report and confirmed the caption as it existed at that time, made corrections to the caption as requested by Mineral County, granted Mineral County's requests to dismiss certain parties, granted Mineral County's requests to substitute parties, ratified service on other parties as requested by Mineral County, and confirmed a list of parties who remain to be served. The Walker River Irrigation District ("WRID") filed objections to that Order (Doc. Nos. 552 & 553) and Mineral County responded (Doc. No. 563). The Court overruled WRID's objections in its April 23, 2012 Order with the exception of WRID's objection to the dismissal of Michael Sherlock, who will remain in the caption and must be served pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4. Order, at 22-27 (Doc. No. 592).<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> As the Court noted, in its April 23, 2012, Order, Mineral County already had agreed that Michael Sherlock should not be dismissed and will be served.

1 **II. COMPLETION OF SERVICE:**

2 Mineral County is now in a position to move forward on final service efforts in C-125-C,  
3 and the Court has approved a final service list of 107 individuals and entities, which is attached  
4 hereto as Exhibit A. *See* Order Concerning Service Issues in C-125-C, at 2 (Doc. No. 547);  
5 April 23, 2012 Order, at 25-27 (Doc. No. 592). This final service list represents the final list of  
6 proposed defendants to be served pursuant to Rule 4 and consists of Mineral County's Exhibit 6  
7 to its January 23, 2009 Service Report Reply (Doc. No. 496), which was confirmed as accurate  
8 by the Court's September 27, 2011 Order Concerning Service Issues in C-125-C (Doc. No. 547),  
9 with the addition of Michael Sherlock pursuant to the Court's Order of April 23, 2012 (Doc. No.  
10 592). Mineral County requests that the Court confirm this list as the final Rule 4 service list.

11  
12 Pursuant to the Court's orders of September 27, 2011 and April 23, 2012, (Doc. Nos. 547  
13 & 592), Mineral County also has attached to this Report as Exhibit B an updated caption that  
14 reflects all orders of the Court to date, which added and/or dismissed parties. This caption  
15 reflects the caption confirmed by the Court's Order of September 27, 2011 (Doc. No. 547), and  
16 the additions and deletions to the caption that were approved in that order, with the exception of  
17 Michael Sherlock, who has been kept in the caption pursuant to the Court's Order of April 23,  
18 2012 (Doc. No. 592). Mineral County requests that the Court approve this caption as accurate  
19 and current.

20  
21 Pursuant to and consistent with the orders of the Court governing service to date, the  
22 updated service package contains the following documents, which are attached hereto as Exhibit  
23 C, with the exception of Mineral County's Intervention Documents listed under number 8 below,  
24 which already are on file with the Court and are referenced by the Court's Document Numbers:  
25  
26  
27

- 1           1. Notice of Lawsuit and Request for Waiver of Personal Service of Notice in Lieu of  
2           Summons that is consistent with the request for waiver used in Mineral County's  
3           previous service efforts, with the Notice used by the United States in subproceeding  
4           C-125-B, with all orders of the Court to date, and with Form 5 of the Federal Rules of  
5           Civil Procedure (Exhibit C-1);  
6
- 7           2. Waiver of Personal Service of Notice in Lieu of Summons form that is consistent  
8           with the waiver used in Mineral County's previous service efforts, with the Notice  
9           used by the United States in subproceeding C-125-B, with all orders of the Court to  
10          date, and with Form 6 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (Exhibit C-2);  
11
- 12          3. Notice in Lieu of Summons updated to reflect all orders of the Court to date, which is  
13          based on and consistent with the Notice in Lieu of Summons document attached to  
14          the Court's April 3, 2000 Order Concerning Status of Service on Defendants (Doc.  
15          No. 327), which is the most recent Notice in Lieu of Summons approved by the  
16          Court. The Notice in Lieu of Summons has been updated to reflect the provisions  
17          governing successors-in-interest to served defendants contained in the Court's  
18          September 6, 2011 Amended Order Concerning Service Issues Pertaining to  
19          Defendants Who Have Been Served (Doc. No. 542), which were confirmed by the  
20          Court's April 23, 2012 Order (Doc. No. 592). It also is consistent with the Notice in  
21          Lieu of Summons used by the United States in C-125-B (Exhibit C-3);  
22
- 23          4. Notice of Appearance and Intent to Participate form, which is based on and consistent  
24          with the Notice of Appearance form attached to the Court's April 4, 2000  
25          Amendment to Order Concerning Status of Service on Defendants (Doc. No. 328),  
26          which is the most recent form approved by the Court (Exhibit C-4);  
27

- 1           5. Disclaimer of Interest form, which was approved by the Court in its September 6,  
2           2011 Amended Order Concerning Service Issues Pertaining to Defendants Who Have  
3           Been Served (Doc. No. 542), which was confirmed by the Court's April 23, 2012  
4           Order (Doc. No. 592) (Exhibit C-5);
- 5           6. Joint Motion for Substitution of Parties Following Transfer of Interest form, which  
6           was approved by the Court in its September 6, 2011 Amended Order Concerning  
7           Service Issues Pertaining to Defendants Who Have Been Served (Doc. No. 542),  
8           which was confirmed by the Court's April 23, 2012 Order (Doc. No. 592) (Exhibit C-  
9           6);
- 10          7. Statement Noting Death form, which is consistent with Form 9 of the Federal Rules  
11          of Civil Procedure, and with the Court's September 6, 2011 Amended Order  
12          Concerning Service Issues Pertaining to Defendants Who Have Been Served (Doc.  
13          No. 542), which was confirmed by the Court's April 23, 2012 Order (Doc. No. 592)  
14          (Exhibit C-7); and
- 15          8. Mineral County's Intervention Documents, which consist of the following  
16          documents:
  - 17           a. Notice of Motion and Motion of Mineral County for Intervention (Doc. No.  
18           2);
  - 19           b. Mineral County's Proposed Petition to Intervene, Memorandum of Points and  
20           Authorities, and Supporting Affidavits of Kelvin F. Buchanan, Herman F.  
21           Staat, Marlene Bunch, and Louis Thompson (Doc. No. 3);
  - 22           c. Mineral County's Amended Complaint in Intervention (Doc. No. 20);

1 d. Amended Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Mineral

2 County's Amended Complaint in Intervention (Doc. No. 21); and

3 e. Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Memorandum of Points and Authorities,

4 Second Affidavit of Kelvin J. Buchanan, and Affidavit of Gary L. Vinyard,

5 Ph.D (Doc. No. 22).

6 Mineral County requests that the Court approve this service package for use by Mineral  
7 County in its final Rule 4 service efforts.

8  
9 Mineral County also has attached a Proposed Order Relating to the Completion of  
10 Service, which builds on and is consistent with service orders in C-125-C. Consistent with the  
11 prior practice and orders governing Mineral County's service efforts in C-125-C, (Doc Nos. 19,  
12 48, 327, 542, and 592), and with service orders in C-125-B, the Proposed Order outlines the  
13 procedure for obtaining waivers of personal service of notices in lieu of summons by Mineral  
14 County, lists the documents Mineral County must serve on proposed defendants, and provides  
15 that a schedule for filing responses to Mineral County's Intervention Documents will be set at a  
16 later date once Rule 4 service is complete, but that served defendants must enter a Notice of  
17 Appearance and Intent to Participate or file a Disclaimer of Interest within thirty (30) days of  
18 service if they wish to participate in the case. The Proposed Order also attaches and  
19 contemplates the use by proposed defendants of a Joint Motion for Substitution of Parties  
20 Following Transfer of Interest and Request for Hearing in the event of a water right transfer after  
21 service. Both the Disclaimer of Interest and Joint Motion for Substitution forms were attached to  
22 the Court's September 6, 2011 Amended Order Concerning Service Issues Pertaining to  
23 Defendants Who Have Been Served (Doc. No. 542). The Proposed Order also contains a  
24 provision for filing a Statement Noting Death and procedure for substitution of successors-in-  
25 Mineral County Report Concerning Status and Completion of Service  
26  
27  
28 Page 7 of 9

1 interest to deceased defendants which mirrors the provisions contained in the Court's September  
2 6, 2011 Amended Order Concerning Service Issues Pertaining to Defendants Who Have Been  
3 Served (Doc. No. 542), which was confirmed by the Court's April 23, 2012 Order (Doc. No.  
4 592).

5 As in previous orders in C-125-C, the Proposed Order also provides that persons or  
6 entities who are served but do not enter appearances are deemed to have notice of future  
7 pleadings and orders of the Court. Finally, the Proposed Order contains provisions that mirror  
8 the provisions explaining the treatment of successors-in-interest of served defendants which are  
9 contained in the Court's September 6, 2011 Amended Order Concerning Service Issues  
10 Pertaining to Defendants Who Have Been Served (Doc. No. 542), which was confirmed by the  
11 Court's April 23, 2012 Order (Doc. No. 592). The Order includes as attachments all of the  
12 Service Package documents attached hereto as Exhibits C-1 through C-7.  
13  
14

15 **III. SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETION OF SERVICE**

16 Mineral County proposes to complete personal service on the remaining list of 107  
17 proposed defendants, attached hereto as Exhibit A, by March 1, 2013, which Mineral County  
18 believes is a realistic and feasible date for completion of service, because it provides sufficient  
19 time for service by mail, personal service, research concerning successors in interest, and any  
20 necessary motions to add and dismiss potential successors-in-interest to those proposed  
21 defendants who remain to be served. Mineral County notes, however, that in the event of last  
22 minute transfers, it may be necessary to request a limited extension of that deadline in order to  
23 track and serve such transferees.  
24  
25  
26  
27



1 **IV. CONCLUSION**

2 On the basis of the foregoing, Mineral County respectfully requests that the Court issue  
3 an order:

- 4 1. Approving the final Rule 4 service list, attached hereto as Exhibit A;  
5 2. Approving the current caption, attached hereto as Exhibit B;  
6 3. Approving Mineral County's service package, attached hereto as Exhibit C; and  
7 4. Approving the deadline for completion of service as outlined above.  
8

9 Respectfully submitted this 7th day of June, 2012,

10 /s/ SIMEON M. HERSKOVITS  
11 Simeon M. Herskovits, Nevada Bar No. 11155  
12 Advocates for Community and Environment  
13 P.O. Box 1075  
14 El Prado, New Mexico 87529  
15 Phone: (575) 758-7202  
16 Fax: (575) 758-7203  
17 Email: simeon@communityandenvironment.net

18 /s/ SEAN A. ROWE  
19 Sean A. Rowe, Nevada Bar No. 10977  
20 Mineral County District Attorney  
21 P.O. Box 1210  
22 Hawthorne, NV 89415  
23 Phone: (775) 945-3636  
24 Fax: (775) 945-0740  
25 Email: srowe@mineralcountynv.org

26 *Attorneys for Mineral County, Nevada*  
27  
28

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 7th day of June, 2012, I electronically filed the foregoing  
**MINERAL COUNTY REPORT CONCERNING STATUS AND COMPLETION OF  
SERVICE** with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification  
of such filing to the following via their email addresses:

Marta A. Adams  
[madams@ag.nv.gov](mailto:madams@ag.nv.gov) [pyoung@ag.nv.gov](mailto:pyoung@ag.nv.gov)

Gregory W. Addington  
[greg.addington@usdoj.gov](mailto:greg.addington@usdoj.gov) [judy.farmer@usdoj.gov](mailto:judy.farmer@usdoj.gov) [joanie.silvershield@usdog.gov](mailto:joanie.silvershield@usdog.gov)

George N. Benesch  
[gbenesch@sbcglobal.net](mailto:gbenesch@sbcglobal.net)

Gordon H. DePaoli  
[gdepaoli@woodburnandwedge.com](mailto:gdepaoli@woodburnandwedge.com)

Dale E. Ferguson  
[dferguson@woodburnandwedge.com](mailto:dferguson@woodburnandwedge.com)

Simeon M. Herskovits  
[simeon@communityandenvironment.net](mailto:simeon@communityandenvironment.net)

John W. Howard  
[john@jwhowardattorneys.com](mailto:john@jwhowardattorneys.com), [elisam@jwhowardattorneys.com](mailto:elisam@jwhowardattorneys.com)

Erin K. L. Mahaney  
[emahaney@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:emahaney@waterboards.ca.gov)

Ross E. de Lipkau  
[RdeLipkau@parsonsbehle.com](mailto:RdeLipkau@parsonsbehle.com), [LBagnall@parsonsbehle.com](mailto:LBagnall@parsonsbehle.com); [ecf@parsonsbehl.com](mailto:ecf@parsonsbehl.com)

David L. Negri  
[David.negri@usdoj.gov](mailto:David.negri@usdoj.gov)

Michael Neville  
[michael.neville@doj.ca.gov](mailto:michael.neville@doj.ca.gov), [cory.marcelino@doj.ca.gov](mailto:cory.marcelino@doj.ca.gov)

Karen A. Peterson  
[kpeterson@allisonmackenzie.com](mailto:kpeterson@allisonmackenzie.com), [egarrison@allisonmackenzie.com](mailto:egarrison@allisonmackenzie.com)

1 Todd A. Plimpton  
2 [tplimpton@msn.com](mailto:tplimpton@msn.com)

3 Laura A. Schroeder  
4 [counsel@water-law.com](mailto:counsel@water-law.com)

5 Stacey Simon  
6 [ssimon@mono.ca.gov](mailto:ssimon@mono.ca.gov)

7 Wes Williams, Jr.  
8 [wwilliams@standordalumni.org](mailto:wwilliams@standordalumni.org)

9 Cheri Emm-Smith  
10 [districtattorney@mineralcountynv.org](mailto:districtattorney@mineralcountynv.org)

11 William E. Schaeffer  
12 [Lander\\_lawyer@yahoo.com](mailto:Lander_lawyer@yahoo.com)

13 Bryan L. Stockton  
14 [bstockton@ag.nv.gov](mailto:bstockton@ag.nv.gov), [slgeyer@ag.nv.gov](mailto:slgeyer@ag.nv.gov)

15 Stuart David Hotchkiss  
16 [david.hotchkiss@ladwp.com](mailto:david.hotchkiss@ladwp.com)

17 Paul J. Anderson  
18 [panderson@mclrenolaw.com](mailto:panderson@mclrenolaw.com)

19 Richard W. Harris  
20 [rharris@gbis.com](mailto:rharris@gbis.com)

21 John Paul Schlegelmilch  
22 [jpslaw@netscape.com](mailto:jpslaw@netscape.com)

23 Michael R. Montero  
24 [mrm@eloreno.com](mailto:mrm@eloreno.com)

25 Julian C. Smith, Jr.  
26 [joylyn@smithandharmer.com](mailto:joylyn@smithandharmer.com)

27 Gene M. Kaufman  
28 [GKaufmann@mindenlaw.com](mailto:GKaufmann@mindenlaw.com)

J.D. Sullivan  
[jd@mindenlaw.com](mailto:jd@mindenlaw.com)

1  
2 Charles S. Zumpft  
3 [zumpft@brooke-shaw.com](mailto:zumpft@brooke-shaw.com)

4 Harry W. Swainston  
5 [hwsainston@earthlink.net](mailto:hwsainston@earthlink.net)

6 Malissa Hathaway McKeith  
7 [mckeith@lbbslaw.com](mailto:mckeith@lbbslaw.com)

8 Sheri M. Thome  
9 [Sheri.thome@wilsonelser.com](mailto:Sheri.thome@wilsonelser.com)

10 Marvin W. Murphy  
11 [marvinmurphy@sbcglobal.net](mailto:marvinmurphy@sbcglobal.net)

12 Brian Chally  
13 [brian.chally@lvvwd.com](mailto:brian.chally@lvvwd.com)

14 Kirk C. Johnson  
15 [kirk@nvlawyers.com](mailto:kirk@nvlawyers.com)

16 G. David Robertson  
17 [gdavid@nvlawyers.com](mailto:gdavid@nvlawyers.com)

18 Louis S. Test  
19 [twallace@htag.reno.nv.us](mailto:twallace@htag.reno.nv.us)

20 T. Scott Brooke  
21 [brooke@brooke-shaw.com](mailto:brooke@brooke-shaw.com)

22 William J. Duffy  
23 [William.duffy@dgslaw.com](mailto:William.duffy@dgslaw.com)

24 Michael D. Hoy  
25 [mhoy@nevadalaw.com](mailto:mhoy@nevadalaw.com)

26 Debbie Leonard  
27 [dleonard@mcdonaldcarano.com](mailto:dleonard@mcdonaldcarano.com)

28 Michael F. Mackedon  
[falonlaw@phonewave.net](mailto:falonlaw@phonewave.net)

[dbmooney@dcn.org](mailto:dbmooney@dcn.org)

1 Erick Soderlund

2 [esoderlu@water.ca.gov](mailto:esoderlu@water.ca.gov)

3 Don Springmeyer

4 [dspringmeyer@wrslawyers.com](mailto:dspringmeyer@wrslawyers.com)

5 James Spoo

6 [spootoo@aol.com](mailto:spootoo@aol.com), [jjrbau@hotmail.com](mailto:jjrbau@hotmail.com)

7 Lynn Steyaert

8 [lls@water-law.com](mailto:lls@water-law.com)

9 Michael A. Pagni

10 [mpagni@mcdonaldcarano.com](mailto:mpagni@mcdonaldcarano.com)

11 Noelle R. Gentilli

12 [ngentill@water.ca.gov](mailto:ngentill@water.ca.gov)

13 Sylvia L. Harrison

14 [sharrison@mcdonaldcarano.com](mailto:sharrison@mcdonaldcarano.com)

15 Brad M. Johnston

16 [bjohnston@hollandandhart.com](mailto:bjohnston@hollandandhart.com), [RenoFedECF@halelane.com](mailto:RenoFedECF@halelane.com), [btoriyama@halelane.com](mailto:btoriyama@halelane.com),  
17 [carnold@halelane.com](mailto:carnold@halelane.com), [cpulsipher@halelane.com](mailto:cpulsipher@halelane.com), [eford@hollandandhart.com](mailto:eford@hollandandhart.com)

18 Stephen M. Macfarlane

19 [Stephen.Macfarlane@usdoj.gov](mailto:Stephen.Macfarlane@usdoj.gov), [deedee.sparks@usdoj.gov](mailto:deedee.sparks@usdoj.gov)

20 Marshall Rudolph

21 [mrudolph@mono.ca.gov](mailto:mrudolph@mono.ca.gov)

22 Susan L. Schneider

23 [susan.schneider@usdoj.gov](mailto:susan.schneider@usdoj.gov)

24 Gary Stone

25 [jaliep@aol.com](mailto:jaliep@aol.com)

26

27

28

and I further certify that I served or caused to have served a true and correct copy of the foregoing **MINERAL COUNTY REPORT CONCERNING STATUS AND COMPLETION OF SERVICE** on the following non-CM/ECF participants by U.S Mail, postage prepaid, this 7th day of June, 2012.

Ken Spooner  
Walker River Irrigation District  
P.O. Box 820  
Yerington, NV 89447

Jason King  
State Engineer – Division of Water Resources  
State of Nevada  
901 S. Stewart Street  
Carson City, NV 89701

William W. Quinn  
Office of the Field Solicitor  
Department of the Interior  
401 W. Washington St., SPC 44  
Phoenix, AZ 85003

Jim Shaw  
Chief Dep. Water Commissioner  
U.S. Board of Water Commissioners  
P.O. Box 853  
Yerington, NV 89447

Robert L. Hunter, Superintendent  
Western Nevada Agency  
Bureau of Indian Affairs  
311 E. Washington Street  
Carson City, NV 89701-4065

Wesley G. Beverlin  
Malissa Hathaway McKeith  
Lewis, Brisbois, Bisgaard & Smith LCP  
221 N. Figueroa St., Suite 1200  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Leo Drozdoff  
Dept. of Conservation & Natural Resources  
State of Nevada  
901 S. Stewart Street  
Carson City, NV 89701

William J Shaw  
Brooke & Shaw, Ltd.  
1590 Fourth Street  
P.O. Box 2860  
Minden, NV 89423

District Attorney for Lyon County  
31 South Main Street  
Yerington, NV 89447

R.A. Palayo  
5336 Awbury7 Ave.  
Las Vegas, NV 89110

Scott H. Shackelton  
Law Offices of Scott Shackelton  
4160 Long Knife Road  
Reno, NV 89509

R. C. Howard  
Hale Lane Peek, Dennison & Howard  
5441 Kietzke Lane  
Suite 200  
Reno, NV 89511

Athena Brown, Superintendent  
Western Nevada Agency  
Bureau of Indian Affairs  
311 E. Washington Street  
Carson City, NV 89701-4065

Gary A. Sheerin  
Gary A. Sheerin, Law Office of  
177 W. Proctor Street, Suite B  
Carson City, NV 89703

George M. Keele  
1692 County Road  
Suite A  
Minden, NV 89423

David Moser  
McCutchen, Doyle, Brown, et.al.  
Three Embaracadero Center  
Suite 1800  
San Francisco, CA 94111

Walker Lake Water Dist. G.I.D.  
Walker Lake GID  
175 Wassuk Way  
Walker Lake, NV 89415

James Fousekis  
2848 Garber Street  
Berkeley, CA 94705

Gary Stone  
Water Master  
290 South Arlington Ave.  
Reno, NV 89501

Mary Rosaschi  
P.O. Box 22  
Wellington, NV 89444

/s/ Simeon Herskovits  
Simeon Herskovits